# Irving Independent School District Lee Elementary School 2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan



# **Mission Statement**

T.J. Lee in conjunction with Irving ISD adopts the mission statement: "Ensuring the success of all life-long learners through collaboration to empower their minds."

# Vision

"Nurturing the heart and inspiring the mind."

# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	9
School Processes & Programs	12
Perceptions	14
Priority Problem Statements	15
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	16
Goals	18
Goal 1: In Irving ISD, each student will reach their highest potential and be college and career ready.	18
Goal 2: In Irving ISD, we will increase parent and community engagement in the city of Irving.	22
Goal 3: In Irving ISD, we will attract, develop, and maintain life changing educators committed to each student.	23
State Compensatory	25
Budget for Lee Elementary School	25
Title I	26
1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment	26
2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders	26
2.2: Regular monitoring and revision	26
2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language	26
2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards	26
2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education	26
2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk	26
3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan	26
4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy	26
4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings	26
5.1: Determine which students will be served by following local policy	26
Title I Personnel	28
Campus Funding Summary	29

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

# **Demographics**

**Demographics Summary** 

Student Demographics (2023 - 2024 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 10/03/2023)	Count	Percent
Gender		
Female	316	48.84%
Male	331	51.16%
Ethnicity		

Lee Elementary School Generated by Plan4Learning.com

Hispanic-Latino Hispanic-Latino	350	54.10%
Race		
American Indian - Alaskan Native	12	1.85%
Asian	28	4.33%
Black - African American	207	31.99%
Native Hawaiian - Pacific Islander	0	0.00%
White	41	6.34%
Two-or-More	9	1.39%

School Population (2023 - 2024 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 10/03/2023)	Count	Percent
Student Total	647	100%
Pre-Kindergarten Grade	59	9.12%
Kindergarten Grade	84	12.98%
1st Grade	82	12.67%
2nd Grade	107	16.54%
3rd Grade	116	17.93%
4th Grade	120	18.55%
5th Grade	79	12.21%

Children Drag grantes (2000) 200 1 7 11 7 11 7 11 7 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		
Student Programs (2023 - 2024 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 10/03/2023)	Count	Percent
Dyslexia	24	3.71%
Gifted and Talented	45	6.96%
Regional Day School Program for the Deaf	1	0.15%
Section 504	17	2.63%
Special Education (SPED)	78	12.06%
Bilingual/ESL		
Emergent Bilingual (EB)	270	41.73%
Bilingual	213	32.92%
English as a Second Language (ESL)	74	11.44%
Alternative Bilingual Language Program	0	0.00%
Alternative ESL Language Program	0	0.00%
Title I Part A		
Schoolwide Program	115	17.77%
Targeted Assistance	1	0.15%
Targeted Assistance Previously Participated	3	0.46%
Title I Homeless	0	0.00%

Neglected 0 0.00%

# Percentage in Attendance Comparison by Ethnicity, Gender, Grade and Special Pop

	PIA - Cumulative
Lee Elementary	2022-2023
All Students	92.2%
Ethnicity	
Hispanic/Latino	92.6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	95.4%
Asian	92.5%
Black or African American	91.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	82.9%
White	91.9%
Two or More Races	90.7%
Gender	
Male	92.1%
Female	92.2%
Grade	
Early Education	-
Pre-Kindergarten	90.9%
Kindergarten	90.3%
1st	91.3%
2nd	91.9%
3rd	93.9%
4th	92.6%
5th	92.9%
Special Population	
At Risk	92.2%
Early Reading Indicator	88.9%
Economic Disadvantage	92.0%
Emergent Bilingual	92.9%
Foster Care	85.4%
Gifted and Talented	94.2%

Percentage in Attendance Comparison by E	thnicity, Gender, Grade and Special Pop
Homeless Status	88.1%
Migrant	-
Military Connected	-
RDSPD	91.3%
Section 504	94.4%
Special Education	90.9%
Unaccompanied Youth	97.1%

#### Student Mobility Rate Report for All User Accessible Campuses for All Students

	(C	2020 - 2021 covid-19 Remote)		(C	2021 - 2022 covid-19 Remote)			2022 - 2023	
	Mobile Students	All Students	Mobility Rate	Mobile Students	All Students	Mobility Rate	Mobile Students	All Students	Mobility Rate
Campus Summary	107	587	18.23%	146	626	23.32%	145	634	22.87%
Lee EL	107	587	18.23%	146	626	23.32%	145	634	22.87%

Discipline Action Summary at Lee EL	Discipline Action Summary at Lee EL during 2022 - 2023																																			
	Ac	tion	Cod	Codes																																
Reason Code	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	25	26	27	28	29	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	LO	Total
21 - Violation Of Student Code Of	0	0	0	0	6	62	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
28 - Assault against someone other than	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
41 - Fighting/Mutual Combat	0	0	0	0	5	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Total	0	0	0	0	12	75	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	123

#### **Demographics Strengths**

Our overall student enrollment has increased we have been able to retain teacher numbers.

The attendance rate for our biggest population, Hispanics, is 92.6%.

## **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** According to 2022-2023 attendance report, our cumulative average was 92.2%. **Root Cause:** High student mobility rate of 22.87% and 46% of students riding the bus.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** According to the 2023-2024 school year, we have a 42% of identified Emergent Bilinguals (3 years or less). **Root Cause:** Two-way dual language program was not implemented with fidelity.

# **Student Learning**

**Student Learning Summary** 

# ain 1 - Details

2023 Student Achievement for (057912111) LEE EL

**Behind the Score** 

t Assessments Growth Comparison	Raw Score	Scaled Score 56	Weight % of Score 100%	Weighted Points 56
ing STAAR Performance 56 (100%) As your Score				
	•	Student Achievem	ent Domain Rating	F

t Assessments Growth	Compa	rison for 2	2022 -	202	3									
	All Studen ts	African American	Hisp anic		American Indian	Asi an	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	High Focus	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)	Econ Dis	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continuousl Enrolled
t of Tests			•								•			
	EE0/	<b>520/</b>	E 4 0/	700	1000/	020	200/	140/	EE0/	F60/	E 4 0/	29%		<i>EE</i> 0
oproaches GL Standard /e	55%	53%	54%	70.	100%	92%	20%	14%	55%	50%	54%	29%		55%
eets GL Standard or	26%	21%	27%	30°	100%	56°,	0%	0%	25%	28%	24%	19%		25%
asters GL Standard	8%	9%	8%	11'	0%	169	0%	0%	8%	8%	8%	12%		8%
r of Tests	•													
proaches GL Standard /e	375	131	176	40	2	23	1	2	350	153	323	24	0	238
ets GL Standard or	173	52	88	17	2	14	0	0	158	76	143	16	0	108
sters GL Standard	57	21	26	6	0	4	0	0	52	23	49	10	0	36
ests	676	246	327	57	2	25	5	14	642	275	601	84	0	43

t of Tests

proaches GL Standard	61%	62%	57%	72°	100%	10	50%	33%	60%	58%	60%	31%		62%
re						0%								
eets GL Standard or	26%	20%	27%	32°	100%	55%	0%	0%	25%	28%	25%	19%		24%
asters GL Standard	9%	9%	8%	12°	0%	18º	0%	0%	9%	9%	9%	6%		8%
r of Tests														
proaches GL Standard	178	64	81	18	1	11	1	2	166	70	154	11	0	113
ets GL Standard or	75	21	39	8	1	6	0	0	69	34	64	7	0	44
sters GL Standard	26	9	12	3	0	2	0	0	24	11	22	2	0	15
ests	290	103	142	25	1	11	2	6	276	121	258	36	0	183
natics									'					
t of Tests														
oproaches GL Standard	54%	52%	54%	68°	100%	919	0%	0%	54%	58%	53%	22%		54%
eets GL Standard or	28%	25%	29%	28°	100%	649	0%	0%	27%	31%	25%	17%		28%
asters GL Standard	9%	9%	8%	8%	0%	18º,	0%	0%	8%	9%	9%	17%		9%
r of Tests									<u>'</u>					
proaches GL Standard	158	54	76	17	1	10	0	0	148	70	136	8	0	98
ets GL Standard or	82	26	41	7	1	7	0	0	74	37	65	6	0	51
sters GL Standard	25	9	12	2	0	2	0	0	23	11	22	6	0	16
ests	290	103	142	25	1	11	2	6	276	121	258	36	0	183
e												'	-	
t of Tests														
proaches GL Standard	41%	33%	44%	71 <sup>c</sup>		67%	0%	0%	40%	39%	39%	42%		42%
eets GL Standard or	17%	13%	19%	29°		339	0%	0%	17%	15%	16%	25%		20%
asters GL Standard	6%	8%	5%	14 <sup>(</sup>		0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	6%	17%		8%
r of Tests								- 1						

ain 1 - Details														
proaches GL Standard	39	13	19	5	0	2	0	0	36	13	33	5	0	27
/e														
ets GL Standard or	16	5	8	2	0	1	0	0	15	5	14	3	0	13
sters GL Standard	6	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	5	1	5	2	0	5
ests	96	40	43	7	0	3	1	2	90	33	85	12	0	65

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

Overall, our White student group is at 70% approaches or above.

Overall, our Asian population grew from 69% approaching or above to 92%.

In Reading, our African American group increased from 48% to 62%.

In Reading, our Asian group increased from 67% to 100%.

In Math, overall all students increased from 49% to 54% approaches or above.

In Math, our African group increased from 38% to 52% approaches or above.

In Math, our Economically Disadvantaged group increased from 47% to 53%.

In Science, all students increased from 27% to 41% approaches or above.

In Science, Hispanic group increased from 27% to 44% approaches or above.

In Science, our EB group increased from 29% to 39% approaches or above.

In Science, our Economically Disadvantaged from 26% to 39% approaches or above.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** According 2022 - 2023 STAAR Math and Reading data, our overall Meets and Masters are under 30%. **Root Cause:** The instructional focus has been on students on the approaching level instead of meets and masters.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** According to 2022 - 2023 STAAR Math data, 46% of our students did not meet approaches or above. **Root Cause:** The rigor of Tier 1 instruction has been deficient. Effective math strategies have not been implemented with fidelity.

## **School Processes & Programs**

#### **School Processes & Programs Summary**

- District provided curriculum and high quality instructional materials will be used with fidelity in the areas of Math, RLA, and Science.
- Dual Language One-Way for K-1 and Dual Language Two-Way for 2nd-5th.
- LIFE K-5
- PASS Behavioral Program K-5
- 504 Services
- SPED/Dyslexia/Speech Services
- STEM
- Destination Imagination
- Content Intervention
  - Math- Bridges/ District intervention of progression
  - Reading- CORE Phonics/SIPPS
- Rockin' Saturday School- intentional focus will be enrichment and extension of curriculum.
- Professional Development
  - · Social Emotional Learning
  - Behavior
  - Content teams meet weekly to track and adjust learning based on exit ticket data with Academic Specialist. Campus Assessments are created once a 6 weeks. Resources such as lead4ward and STAAR released items are used to create high rigor exit tickets. Campus assessments taken and tracked on Eduphoria/AWARE.
  - Leadership team meets with faculty 1-2 times a month for professional development and communication of school related events.
- Weekly instructional team meetings with Administration, Academic Specialist, reading and math interventionists.
- Calibration walkthroughs between administration.
- DLC weekly campus support.
  - PLC Support
  - Professional Growth by teacher
  - Instructional Innovation
  - Professional Learning

#### **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

PLC Content teams meet separately allowing intentional time to focus on each subject. The use of resources and STAAR released question stems allow teachers to expose students to the highest level of rigor. Teams will engage in data-driven discussions with exit tickets, campus assessments, and DCAs to implement best practices to intervene and enrich.

Reading and math interventionists serve each subject K-5 group separately. Two reading paraprofessionals, an Esser math paraprofessional, and a math tutor and a reading tutor serve K-5 students in small groups according to student needs.

Administrators conduct focused walkthroughs during Tier1/Tier 2 and small group instruction.

Specialists will coach teachers who are new to reading/math and/or grade level.

Interventionists will continue to provide targeted instruction for students needing tier 3 services. **Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs** Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The use of HIQM and district curriculum has not been implemented with fidelity. Root Cause: There is a lack of clarity or professional development for understanding the framework.

# **Perceptions**

#### **Perceptions Summary**

Mission Statement: To equip students to confidently pursue their passions.

Vision Statement: Lee Elementary staff will empower students through diverse experiences, education, & love.

Counselors created an Attendance program targeting students that were habitually absent.

Parent liaison provides parent training on supporting campus goals.

Surveys are conducted by counselors, administrators, teams and parent liaison to receive feedback from stakeholders in specific areas.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

The mission and vision statement were revamped to meet the needs of our students.

School surveys indicate a positive school climate and culture.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Parent involvement in the engagement of the students' academic and behavior success is low. **Root Cause:** High mobility rate, language barriers, and inconsistency of parent involvement contribute to the lack of academic and behavior success.

# **Priority Problem Statements**

**Problem Statement 1**: The use of HIQM and district curriculum has not been implemented with fidelity.

**Root Cause 1**: There is a lack of clarity or professional development for understanding the framework.

**Problem Statement 1 Areas**: School Processes & Programs

**Problem Statement 2**: According to 2022-2023 attendance report, our cumulative average was 92.2%.

Root Cause 2: High student mobility rate of 22.87% and 46% of students riding the bus.

**Problem Statement 2 Areas**: Demographics

**Problem Statement 3**: According to the 2023-2024 school year, we have a 42% of identified Emergent Bilinguals (3 years or less).

Root Cause 3: Two-way dual language program was not implemented with fidelity.

**Problem Statement 3 Areas**: Demographics

**Problem Statement 4**: Parent involvement in the engagement of the students' academic and behavior success is low.

Root Cause 4: High mobility rate, language barriers, and inconsistency of parent involvement contribute to the lack of academic and behavior success.

**Problem Statement 4 Areas:** Perceptions

Problem Statement 5: According 2022 - 2023 STAAR Math and Reading data, our overall Meets and Masters are under 30%.

Root Cause 5: The instructional focus has been on students on the approaching level instead of meets and masters.

**Problem Statement 5 Areas:** Student Learning

**Problem Statement 6**: According to 2022 - 2023 STAAR Math data, 46% of our students did not meet approaches or above.

**Root Cause 6**: The rigor of Tier 1 instruction has been deficient. Effective math strategies have not been implemented with fidelity.

**Problem Statement 6 Areas:** Student Learning

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.

#### Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records

#### **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus leadership data

- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

#### Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

#### **Support Systems and Other Data**

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data

# Goals

Goal 1: In Irving ISD, each student will reach their highest potential and be college and career ready.

**Performance Objective 1:** Increase the percentage of 3-5 grade students scoring at MEETS or above on STAAR Math from 24% to 46 % by May 2024.

**High Priority** 

Evaluation Data Sources: Previous STAAR scores, CFA's, MAP data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: During Collaborative Team meetings, Teachers will share best practices and analyze exit tickets. 3rd-5th grade		Summative			
teachers will meet with Tier I, II, and III student groups during the response to intervention and Saturday School intervention.	Nov	Feb	Apr	July	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: This strategy will move students from accomplished to meets or masters on the STAAR math test.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: 3rd-5th grade teachers, Math interventionist and Academic Specialist	100%	100%	100%		
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I-A					

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Every six weeks math and reading teachers will be provided with purposeful planning time. During this time		Summative		
teachers will unpack standards, analyze data, and plan Tier 1 Instruction for the following six weeks	Nov Feb		Apr	July
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increase student performance in STAAR, MAP, local and district common assessments.	N/A		-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and academic specialist				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing				
schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I-A				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
<b>Strategy 3:</b> Fund a Math Interventionist Position for additional intervention during the school day.		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Interventionists will work with students in an effort to increase their reading skills which will impact the students scores.	Nov	Feb	Apr	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and interventionists	N/A			
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b>				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I-A				
		•	1	

## **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

## **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: According 2022 - 2023 STAAR Math and Reading data, our overall Meets and Masters are under 30%. **Root Cause**: The instructional focus has been on students on the approaching level instead of meets and masters.

Goal 1: In Irving ISD, each student will reach their highest potential and be college and career ready.

Performance Objective 2: Increase the percentage of 3-5 grade students scoring at MEETS or above on STAAR Reading from 31% to 44 % by May 2024.

**High Priority** 

**HB3** Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Previous STAAR scores, CFA's, MAP data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Teachers are collaborating during PLC time, creating exit tickets, planning for effective, rigorous Tier 1		Summative			
teaching.	Nov	Feb	Apr	July	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: This strategy will move students from accomplished to meets or masters on the STAAR reading test	1004		1		
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> 3rd-5th grade teachers, Math interventionist and Academic Specialist	40%				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			<u>'</u>	
Strategy 2: Every six weeks math teachers will be provided with purposeful planning time. During this time teachers will	Formative Summat				
unpack standards, analyze data, and plan Tier 1 Instruction for the following six weeks.	Nov	Feb	Apr	July	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increase student performance in STAAR, MAP, local and district common assessments.	N/A				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and academic specialist.					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I-A					

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 3: Fund a Reading Interventionist Position for additional intervention during the school day.		Summative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Interventionist will work with students in an effort to increase their reading skills which will impact the students scores.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and interventionists	Nov N/A	Feb	Apr	July	
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I-A					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue			

# **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: According 2022 - 2023 STAAR Math and Reading data, our overall Meets and Masters are under 30%. **Root Cause**: The instructional focus has been on students on the approaching level instead of meets and masters.

Goal 2: In Irving ISD, we will increase parent and community engagement in the city of Irving.

**Performance Objective 1:** Increase parent participation in the Parent Resource Center and Community events by 5%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent sign-in sheets at parent/family events

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Provide various classes for parents (once a month) starting in November that addresses the needs of the parents		Summative			
based on a parent survey.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parent participation by 5%  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration and parent liaison.  TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Nov N/A	Feb	Apr	July	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 2: Provide at least 6 in person community events to increase family/community engagement		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents and community members will be able to partner up with the school to create a strong and positive school culture.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lee Staff  TEA Priorities:	Nov N/A	Feb	Apr	July	
Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		•	

Goal 3: In Irving ISD, we will attract, develop, and maintain life changing educators committed to each student.

**Performance Objective 1:** Enusre that teachers are well-prepared, mentored, and supported throughout the school year.

**High Priority** 

Evaluation Data Sources: Solicit teacher feedback and incorporate it in decision making.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Seek feedback from teachers on curriculum, plans, and school activities.		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Allowing teachers to be involved empowers them and retains them.	Nov	Feb	Apr	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin	N/A			
Title I:				
2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1				
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I-A				
Tunung sourcest 211 Title 111				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 2: Provide leadership and growth opportunities.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Give teachers meaningful leadership opportunities that are challenging and	Nov	Feb	Apr	July
enriching Teachers can help with curriculum planning, academic coaching, technology integration, and lead professional development for colleagues.	N/A			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin				
Title I:				
2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1				
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I-A				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	I itinue		

# **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

## **Perceptions**

**Problem Statement 1**: Parent involvement in the engagement of the students' academic and behavior success is low. **Root Cause**: High mobility rate, language barriers, and inconsistency of parent involvement contribute to the lack of academic and behavior success.

# **State Compensatory**

# **Budget for Lee Elementary School**

**Total SCE Funds:** \$22,380.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 0

**Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs** 

Saturday School Tutoring - Offers a unique and individualized learning experience; increases good study habits; Improves academic performance, retention and personal growth; improves academic performance, retention, and personal growth; encourages higher level of thinking; improves self-esteem Computer-assisted instruction - provides instruction and/or remediation presented on the computer. Specialized staff development Specialized reading and math materials Specialized reading and math programs Individualized instruction

# Title I

# 1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

## 2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

# 2.2: Regular monitoring and revision

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

# 2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

# 2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

## 2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

# 2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

## 3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

# 4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

## 4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

# 5.1: Determine which students will be served by following local policy

NA. for all of Irving because we are not targeted for assistance (federal and lower than 40% low SES). Lee Elementary School
Generated by Plan4Learning.com

26 of 29

# **Title I Personnel**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Juanita Ramirez	Academic Specialist		
Kelsey Burlingame	Reading Interventionist		
Patricia Rojas	Math Interventionist		
Rochelle Kent	Parent Liaison		

# **Campus Funding Summary**

	211 - Title I-A							
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount				
1	1	1		\$0.00				
1	1	2		\$0.00				
1	1	3		\$0.00				
1	2	2		\$0.00				
1	2	3		\$0.00				
3	1	1		\$0.00				
3	1	2		\$0.00				
			Sub-Total	\$0.00				